WorldFair WP6 Social Surveys

Steve McEachern – Australian Data Archive

Hilde Orten – Sikt, Norway







Who are we?



- Australian National University: https://ada.edu.au (WP6 lead)
 - The Australian Data Archive (ADA) provides a national service for the collection and preservation of digital research data. ADA disseminates this data for secondary analysis by academic researchers and other users.
 - The archive is based in the **ANU Centre for Social Research and Methods** (CSRM) at the Australian National University (ANU).
- Sikt Norwegian Agency for Shared Services in Education and Research: https://sikt.no/
 - O Sikt develops, acquires and delivers services for education and research. In collaboration with our users, we offer a common infrastructure for education and research. The aim is to free capacity for our customers, and to meet overarching goals of digitalisation, data sharing and open research.
- Both organisations:
 - are involved in collaborative international social survey projects, including the European Social Survey (ESS-ERIC) and the International Social Survey Program (ISSP)
 - Provide data archiving services
 - O Conduct social survey research projects
 - Are members of the Data Documentation Alliance (DDI Alliance), and involved in the development of the DDI Cross Domain Integration standard (DDI-CDI)



What will we do?



- Comparative study of the data management, harmonization and integration practices of one of the satellite countries – Australia, through the AUSSI-ESS – and the core ESS, an ERIC social science infrastructure.
- The project will examine both administrative procedures, data and metadata management, and technical environments.
- It will then leverage the DDI metadata standards to understand how such multi-national collections could be made increasingly interoperable and reusable through shared procedural and technical development, and
- Establish a set of guidelines and tools for the development of crossnational collections into the future

In focus till now: FIPs



- Sikt
- Developed by GO FAIR and others to enable communities to describe their approach to FAIR in a common way
- FIPS are declarations of how each FAIR principle is implemented for a data source
- Our FIPs at the current stage

FAIR Principle name	Referring to MetaData/ Data	FIP question		FER Enabling Resource used in WP06 Social Surveys	Internal in organiz	URL	Existing#10
		identifier service do you use for metadata records?		DDiURN		https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/e	Iraft-um-ddi-00 ndf
F1	D	identifier service do you use for datasets?	data describing the object and its location.	DOI		https://www.doi.org/	
		identifier service do you use for datasets?		DDI URN		https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/o	lraft-urn-ddi-00.pdf
F2		What metadata schemas do you use for findability?		DDI-Lifecycle3.3 - Study Unit		https://ddialliance.org/Specifica	
F3	D	identifiers of your data to the metadata description?	adata and the data they describe.	DDI-Lifecycle 3.3		https://ddialliance.org/Specifica	ion/DDI-Lifecycle/3.3/
F4			lata and provides search over that index.	GraphQL API	External	https://graphql.org/	
F4	MD	records?	lata and provides search over that index.	Published with Colectica web services.	Internal	https://docs.colectica.com/repos	itory/web-services/
F4	D	Which service do you use to publish your datasets?	lata and provides search over that index.	ESS Website landing page, API		https://www.europeansocialsurv	ey.org/, https://docs.nsd.no/
F4	D	Which service do you use to publish your datasets?	lata and provides search over that index.	EOSC Portal		https://marketplace.eosc-portal.e	u/providers/ess eric
A1.1	MD	you use for metadata records?	sages are structured and exchanged.	HTTPS		https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/H7	TPS
A1.1	D	you use for datasets?	sages are structured and exchanged.	HTTPS		https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki	HTTPS
A1.2	MD	you use for metadata records?	tal objects according to specifed conditions	No auth. JSON in GraphQL		https://www.json.org/json-en.hts	nl; https://graphql.org/
A1.2	D	you use for datasets?	tal objects according to specifed conditions	eduGAIN/OIDC, transport: GraphQL + data file format External		https://edugain.org/; https://graphql.org/	
A1.2	D	you use for datasets?	tal objects according to specifed conditions	to specifed conditions Azure Active Directory, transport: Azure APIs + data fi Internal		https://azure.microsoft.com/en-u	s/services/active-directory
	MD	What metadata preservation policy do you use? records? machine interoperation) do you use for datasets?	ions under which metadata are to be art of a data management plan).	ESS Policy? JSON in GraphQL Parquet		https://azure.microsoft.com/en-t	s/services/active-directory
11			ag web standards.	DDI-Lifecycle3.3 structured codelists			
12			ig web standards.	ISO3166-1 for country and ISO639-2 for language, NACE I	Day 2 for Industry 10	COOR for assumption MITTO for	Estama1
12	-	your datasets?	ng weo standards.	DDI Controlled vocabularies, CESSDA vocabularies, ELLS		https://colectica-ess-processi	
12		records?	tocabularies	DDI-Lifecycle		nups://corecuca-ess-processi	Internal
10	MID	Tecords:	ocaodianes.	DDI-CDI			
13	D	What semantic model do you use for your datasets?	- constantanian	What to include here?			
		records?	ocasoraries.	CC RV-SA 4.0		CC BY-SA 4.0	
		Which usage license do you use for your datasets?	~ -	CC BY-NC-SA 4.0		CC BY-NC-SA 4.0	
		the provenance of your metadata records?		DDI-Lifecycle3.3		CC DIFFICION 4.0	
				DDI-CDI			
R1.2	D	the provenance of your datasets?		DDI-Lifecycle			
				DDI-CDI			
				PROV			



In focus till now: FIPs



Lessons Learned: Similarities and Differences

- We have both similarities and differences in our practices
- We use common standards (DDI), technologies (Nesstar, Colectica, ...) and resources (shared data dictionaries, data models and
- But which versions of which software/standards creates differences in both practices and technical implementations
- But we can harmonise these
- We have been able to identify common resources aligns well with the FAIR Enabling Resources
- And we are keen to build these out between us (Deliverable 2)

Lessons Learned: Reusable FERs on a Detailed Level

- We have very good practices about enabling consistent resources
- We need to be able to point to key resources
- For social surveys we need specific questions, variables, response categories
- But also some domain-agnostic requirements: classifications/vocabularies, datums, harmonisations
- All of these are potential FERs
- And could be specified and reused as needed through ESS and similar international survey programs (ISSP, World Values Survey, ...)

Lessons Learned: FER and Degree of Specificity

- We need to be very specific in what we can reference in FAIR enabling resources
- It's not clear that:
 - FERs can (currently) cope with the degree of specificity required
 - That we have resources defined with sufficient specificity to make the most of FERs if they can – i.e. how well do we identify specific resources that we use

Lessons Learned: FAIR and Automation of Processes

- Social science has focused on FAIR for a long time (1960s onwards)
- Interoperability and reuse has been in focus
- But we have been very manual in our practices to implement this
- Automation is currently increasing and we want to upscale

Ambitions

- We want to take FIPs and FERs out for a serious test drive
 - Can they really do what we need them to do?
- We need to explore suitable repositories and registries in order to be able to do this
- And then align with our standards at a machine-actionable level





• Questions?





Deliverables

- D6.1 Cross-national Social Sciences survey FAIR implementation case studies (M8)
 - Conduct of a core set of case studies to examine existing practices, and the
 establishment of a policy and procedures library documenting best practice methods
 across the two
- D6.2
 - O Establishment of, adoption of, or contribution to tools for assessing and comparing the FAIR status of machine-actionable content, with a view to
 - developing appropriate guidance and a checklist for making data FAIR; and
 - developing a discipline-appropriate means of assessing the FAIRness of social science survey data.
- D6.3 Pilot implementation of guidelines with ESS and AUSSI-ESS datasets (M21)
 - Piloting of proposed best practices from this case study in future round of ESS/AUSSI-ESS



